Swami Sarvottamananda

Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda University

NITPatna-IGGA, 2011



イロン イボン イヨン イヨン

Outline I



Introduction

- Scope of the Lecture
- Motivation for Planar Graphs
- Problem Definition

2 Charecterisation of Planar Graphs

- Euler's Relation for Planar Graphs
- Kuratowski's and Wagner's Theorems
- Proof of Kuratowski's Theorem

3 Planarity Testing

- Outline of Planarity Testing
- Algorithm for Planarity Testing
- Planar Embedding

Conclusion



Introduction

Introduction



Introduction

Scope

Scope of the lecture

• Characterisation of Planar Graphs: First we introduce planar graphs, and give its characterisation alongwith some simple properties.



イロト イポト イモト イモト

Introduction

Scope

Scope of the lecture

- Characterisation of Planar Graphs: First we introduce planar graphs, and give its characterisation alongwith some simple properties.
- *Planarity Testing:* Next, we give an algorithm to test if a given graph is planar using the properties that we have uncovered.



ヘロト ヘロト ヘビト ヘビト

Introduction

Scope

Scope of the lecture

- Characterisation of Planar Graphs: First we introduce planar graphs, and give its characterisation alongwith some simple properties.
- *Planarity Testing:* Next, we give an algorithm to test if a given graph is planar using the properties that we have uncovered.
- *Planar Embedding:* Lastly we see how a given graph can be embedded in a plane using straight lines.



Introduction

Motivation for Planar Graphs

What are Planar Graphs—Drawings?

Definition (Drawing)

Given a graph G = (V, E), a drawing maps each vertex $v \in V$ to a distinct point $\Gamma(v)$ in plane, and each edge $e \in E, e = (u, v)$ to a simple open jordan curve $\Gamma(u, v)$ with end points $\Gamma(u)$, $\Gamma(v)$.



Figure: Drawing of a graph



Planarity Testing of Graphs Introduction

Motivation for Planar Graphs

What are Planar Graphs—Non-crossing Drawings?

Definition (Planar Graphs)

Given a graph G = (V, E), G is planar if it admits a drawing such that no two distinct drawn edges intersect except at end points.



Figure: Planar drawing of a graph



Planarity Testing of Graphs	
Introduction	
Motivation for Planar Graphs	

Motivation



Properties of Planar Graph

There are number of interesting properties of planar graphs.

• They are sparse.



Properties of Planar Graph

There are number of interesting properties of planar graphs.

- They are sparse.
- They are 4-colourable.



Properties of Planar Graph

There are number of interesting properties of planar graphs.

- They are sparse.
- They are 4-colourable.
- A number of operations can be performed on them very efficiently.



Properties of Planar Graph

There are number of interesting properties of planar graphs.

- They are sparse.
- They are 4-colourable.
- A number of operations can be performed on them very efficiently.
- They can be efficiently stored (A data structure called SPQR-trees even allows O(1) flipping of planar embeddings).



Properties of Planar Graph

There are number of interesting properties of planar graphs.

- They are sparse.
- They are 4-colourable.
- A number of operations can be performed on them very efficiently.
- They can be efficiently stored (A data structure called SPQR-trees even allows O(1) flipping of planar embeddings).
- There size including faces, edges and vertices is O(n).



A D > A P > A B > A B >

Applications of Planar Graph

Planar graphs are extensively used in Electrical, Mechanical and Civil engineering.

• Easy to visualize. In fact, crossing of edges is the main culprit for reducing comprehensibility.



Applications of Planar Graph

Planar graphs are extensively used in Electrical, Mechanical and Civil engineering.

- Easy to visualize. In fact, crossing of edges is the main culprit for reducing comprehensibility.
- VLSI design, circuit needs to be on surface: lesser the crossings, better is the design.



Applications of Planar Graph

Planar graphs are extensively used in Electrical, Mechanical and Civil engineering.

- Easy to visualize. In fact, crossing of edges is the main culprit for reducing comprehensibility.
- VLSI design, circuit needs to be on surface: lesser the crossings, better is the design.
- Highspeed Highways/Railroads design, crossings are always problematic.



Applications of Planar Graph

Planar graphs are extensively used in Electrical, Mechanical and Civil engineering.

- Easy to visualize. In fact, crossing of edges is the main culprit for reducing comprehensibility.
- VLSI design, circuit needs to be on surface: lesser the crossings, better is the design.
- Highspeed Highways/Railroads design, crossings are always problematic.
- Irrigation canals, crossings simply not admissible.



Applications of Planar Graph

Planar graphs are extensively used in Electrical, Mechanical and Civil engineering.

- Easy to visualize. In fact, crossing of edges is the main culprit for reducing comprehensibility.
- VLSI design, circuit needs to be on surface: lesser the crossings, better is the design.
- Highspeed Highways/Railroads design, crossings are always problematic.
- Irrigation canals, crossings simply not admissible.
- Most of facility location problems on maps are actually problems of planar graphs.



A D F A B F A B F A B F

Planarity Testing of Graphs		
Introduction		
Problem Definition		

Problem Definition



Planarity Testing of Graphs Introduction

Problem Definition

Problem Definition: Planarity Testing

Problem (Decision Problem)

Given a graph G = (V, E), is G planar, i.e., can G be drawn in the plane without edge crossings?



Planarity Testing of Graphs Introduction

Problem Definition

Problem Definition: Planar Embedding

Problem (Computation Problem)

Given a graph G = (V, E), if G is planar, how can G be drawn in the plane such that there are no edge crossings? I.e., compute a planar representation of the graph G.



ヘロト ヘロト ヘビト ヘビト

Planarity Testing of Graphs Introduction Problem Definition

Question: K_4

Is the following graph planar (K_4) ?





イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Planarity Testing of Graphs Introduction Problem Definition

Planarity of K_4

Yes K_4 is planar.



Figure: Planar graph K_4



・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Planarity Testing of Graphs Introduction

Problem Definition

Question: K_5 and $K_{3,3}$

Are the following graphs planar?



Planarity Testing of Graphs Introduction Problem Definition

Answer for K_5 and $K_{3,3}$

No, they aren't. There always will be at least one crossing.



Full proofs by Euler's celebrated theorem.



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Planarity Testing of Graphs Introduction Problem Definition

Question

Is the following graph planar? There are so many crossings $(O(n^2))$.





イロト イポト イモト イモト

Planarity Testing of Graphs Introduction

Problem Definition

Answer

Yes! It is.



Figure: Planar embedding of the last graph





イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Characterisation of Planar Graphs



・ロト ・ ア・ ・ ア・ ・ ア・

Planarity Testing of Graphs Charecterisation of Planar Graphs



We assume that our graphs are connected and there are no self loops and no multi-edges. Disconnected graphs, 1-degree vertices, multi-edges can be easily dealt with.



A D > A P > A B > A B >

Euler's Relation

Theorem (Euler's Relation)

Given a plane graph with n vertices, m edges and f faces, we have n - m + f = 2.

Fact

The exterior is also counted as a face. The above relation also applies to simple polyhedrons with no holes.

Euler formula gives the necessary condition for a graph to be planar[3].



A D F A B F A B F A B F

Euler's Relation: Corollary 1



Figure: Octahedron; $n = 6, m = 12 \le 3n - 6$

Corollary

For a maximal planar graph, where each face is a triangle, we have m = 3n - 6, and therefore, for any graph with at least three vertices, we have $m \leq 3n - 6$.

Proof:
$$\sum_{x \in F} e_x = 2m$$
 and therefore since $e_x \ge 3$, $2m \ge 3f$.



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Non-planarity of K_5

Lemma

 K_5 is non-planar.

Proof: n = 5, m = 10 > 3n - 6 = 9.



イロト イポト イモト イモト

Euler's Relation: Corollary 2



Figure: Cube; $n = 8, m = 12 \le 2n - 4$

Corollary

For a planar graph, where no face is a triangle, we have $m \leq 2n - 4$.

Proof: $\sum_{x \in F} e_x = 2m$ and therefore since $e_x \ge 4$, $2m \ge 4f$. $m \le 2n - 4$ follows.



Non-planarity of $K_{3,3}$

Lemma

 $K_{3,3}$ is non-planar.

Proof: $K_{3,3}$ is bi-partite, therefore has no cycle of odd length, hence if it is planar then no face will be triangular. Then m = 6 m = 0 > 2m = 4 = 8

Then, n = 6, m = 9 > 2n - 4 = 8.



Euler's Relation: Corollary 3

Corollary

Any planar graph is 6 colourable.

Proof: Since $m \leq 3n - 6$, there exists a vertex with degree less than 6 (otherwise $\sum_{v} d_v = 2m \Rightarrow 6n \leq 2m$). By induction, if we remove this vertex, resulting graph is 6-colourable. Just give this vetex a colour other than the five colours of the neighbours.


Planarity Testing of Graphs Charecterisation of Planar Graphs Euler's Relation for Planar Graphs

Euler's Relation: Corollary 4

Corollary

Any planar graph is 5 colourable.

Proof: The neighbours of 5-degree vertex aren't all connected. Take one such pair and do the following:



Figure: u and v are not connected

By induction, if we remove the vertex x and v, resulting graph is 5-colourable. Just give v same colour as u and x a colour other than the 4 colours of the neighbours.



Concepts relating to Kuratowski's and Wagner's Theorems

Euler's conditions are necessary but not sufficient, for example join K_5 and $K_{3,3}$ by an edge. Next we look at Kuratowski's and Wagner's Theorems for conditions of sufficiency. Before stating the theorems, we need to understand subdivisions and minors of a graph.



ヘロト ヘロト ヘビト ヘビト

What is subdivision and minor of a graph?



Figure: Subdivision and minors

Subdividing an edge in a planar graph does not make it non-planar. Shrinking an edge of a planar vertex to make a single vertex does not make it non-planar.



What is subdivision and minor of a graph?

In a way subdivision and minors are complementary. In subdivisions we add a vertex and in minors we remove a vertex. Algorithmically, both are expensive for planarity testing.



ヘロト ヘロト ヘビト ヘビト

Kuratowski's Theorem

Definition

Subdividing any edge means replacing the edge with a path of length 2.

Theorem (Kuratowski's Theorem[6])

G is planar iff G contains no sub-division of K_5 or $K_{3,3}$.

As noted earlier, subdividing an edge in a planar graph does not make it non-planar.



A D > A P > A B > A B >

Wagner's Theorem

Theorem (Wagner's Theorem[10])

G is planar iff G contains no subgraph which has K_5 or $K_{3,3}$ as minor.

This is an alternate characterisation of planar graphs. See [4] for yet another characterisation.

As noted earlier, shrinking an edge of a planar graph to make a single vertex does not make it non-planar.



ヘロト ヘロト ヘビト ヘビト

Need of Subdivisions and Minors

Why do we need subdivisions or minors? Isn't subgraphs sufficient? Then we need to show a non-planar graph which do not have K_5 or $K_{3,3}$ as subgraphs.



Peterson Graph



Figure: Peterson Graph

A graph which doesn't have K_5 or $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph. However, it has a subdivision of $K_{3,3}$ and both K_5 and $K_{3,3}$ as minors.



Non-planarity of Peterson Graph



Figure: Peterson Graph

Peterson Graph has a subdivision of $K_{3,3}$. The same subgraph can also be used to get $K_{3,3}$ as minor.



Non-planarity of Peterson Graph



Figure: Peterson Graph

Peterson Graph has K_5 as a minor.



イロト イポト イモト イモト

Sufficiency Proof of Kuratowski's Theorem



Proof of sufficiency: Suffuciency immediately follows from non-planarity of K_5 and $K_{3,3}$.

Any subdivison of K_5 and $K_{3,3}$ is also non-planar.

Sufficiency condition of Wagner's theorem can also be proved easily. Shrinking edges will not change planarity. So, if we get K_5 or $K_{3,3}$ by shrinking edges, then initial subgraph must be non-planar to start with.



Proof of Necessary Condition of Kuratowski's Theorem

Proof of necessity: Proof of necessity is a little difficult.



- Suppose G is non-planar.
- First we remove edges and vertices of a non-planar graph such that it becomes a minimal non-planar graph.
- I.e. removing any edge will make the resulting graph planar.
- How does removing an edge of a non-planar graph make it planar?
- Somehow we always need to join a vertex inside and outside of a cycle.



Necessary Condition of Kuratowski's Theorem

Why can't we move u or v to the other side? There must be something which is stopping us doing that.



イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Necessary Condition of Kuratowski's Theorem



- Either there is no common joining vertices but which make conflicting ears. We get $K_{3,3}$'s subdivision.
- Or, there are three common joining vertices but which make conflicting tripods. We get K_5 's subdivision.



Necessary Condition of Kuratowski's Theorem

Thus it can be shown that

Fact If G is non-planar it must contain either a sub-division of $K_{3,3}$ or K_5 .



A D F A B F A B F A B F

Planarity Testing of Graphs



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Planarity Testing of Graphs Planarity Testing

How to test Planarity

Question is—How to apply Kuratowski's theorem? Obviously an exponential method.



Planarity testing using Kuratowski's Theorem

To test for K_5 's subdivision.

- Choose 5 vertices of G.
- Check if all 5 vertices are connected by $\binom{5}{4} = 10$ distinct paths as K_5 .

To test for $K_{3,3}$'s subdivision.

- Choose 6 vertices of G.
- Check if are 6 vertices connected by $3 \times 3 = 9$ distinct paths as $K_{3,3}$.

As pointed out previously both are obviously exponential time algorithms.



A D F A B F A B F A B F

Planarity testing using Wagner's Theorem

This is easier to understand. Do the following for every edge.

- Choose an edge of G (m choices).
- Shrink it.
- If 6 vertices are remaining check for $K_{3,3}$
- If 5 vertices are remaining check for K_5
- Repeat.

Worst case O(m!).



Planarity Testing of Graphs Planarity Testing



Conclusion: both are obviously exponential time algorithms. How can we do it more efficiently?



Basic Assumptions-I

We assume the following.

- The given graph G does not have self loops or multi-edges.
- G is undirected and satisfies $m \leq 3n 6$.
- G is connected. If it is disconnected, we can test planarity of disconnected components separately. This also means there won't be isolated vertices.
- No vertex is of degree 1.
- G is stored by adjacency lists. We also rename vertices v's to their dfs(v)'s.



A D F A B F A B F A B F

Planarity Testing of Graphs

Planarity Testing Outline of Planarity Testing

Basic Assumptions-II



We further assume.

- G is bi-connected. If G is not, it is easy to find cut-vertices and test the planarity of each bi-connected component separately.
- We can embed the component in a face adjacent to the cut vertex.
- Actually we can make any face of a planar graph the outer face.



Outline of Planarity Testing

- First we find out bi-connected components of the given graph G.
- Test planarity of each bi-connected component individually. If all are planar then G is planar.
- Assume that bi-connected component itself is G.
- We do a depth-first-search and computer all tree edges and forward/backward edges.
- In the process we compute dfs(v)'s and two lowpoint arrays $L_1(v)$'s and $L_2(v)$'s.
- We sort the adjacency lists according to the criteria of lowpoints.
- There is one cycle, and we try to embed G branch by branch recursively.



Planarity Testing of Graphs

Planarity Testing Outline of Planarity Testing

Tree edges and Fronds



Figure: DFS tree and backward/forward edges



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Lowpoints L_1 and L_2

We define lowpoints $L_1(u)$ and $L_2(u)$ for each vertex as follows. Let S(u) be set of all descendants of u and T(u) be set of all neighbours of S(u).

Definition

 $L_1(u) = \min T(u)$ $L_2(u) = \min(T(u) - L_1(u))$



イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Planarity Testing of Graphs

Planarity Testing Outline of Planarity Testing

Lowpoints L_1 and L_2



Figure: DFS tree and Lowpoints



イロト イポト イモト イモト

Facts about Lowpoints L_1 and L_2

Fact

Since G is 2-connected graph, it has no cut-vertices, so we can conclude that $L_1(v) < u$ whenever u is parent of v and u is not the root node. It follows that $L_2(v) \le u$.

Fact

Low-points are well-defined, since every vertex has atleast 2 neighbours.



Reordering of Edges

Next we reorder edges of a vertes so that components are added on the tree in increasing depth of where they are attached on the DFS tree.

Let $\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{v})$ denote the parent of $\boldsymbol{v}.$ Then weight of edge $\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{v}$ is defined as

$$wt_u(v) = \begin{cases} 2v & \text{if } uv \text{ is a frond with } v < u\\ 2L_1(v) & \text{if } a(v) = u \text{ and } L_2(v) = u\\ 2L_1(v) + 1 & \text{if } a(v) = u \text{ and } L_2(v) < u\\ 2n + 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Planarity Testing of Graphs

Planarity Testing

Outline of Planarity Testing

Reordering of Edges: Explanation



Figure: DFS tree and Lowpoints

At vertex u the branches are embedded in the order $B_u(x), ua, B_u(v), ub, B_u(w)$, and utSince $wt_u[x] = 2r < wt_u[a] = 2a < wt_u[v] = 2a + 1 < wt_u[b] = 2b = wt_u[w] < wt_u[t] = 2n + 1$

Two type of branches

A branch $B_u(v)$, where u = a(v), with $L_2(v) = u$ is called a type I branch. If $L_2(v) < u$ it is called a type II branch.

Type I branches are easier.

We need to calculate branch points b(v) where v separates first from the main trunk.

Fact

G is planar iff each brance can be embedded on one side of its stem (defined as strongly planar, as in Mehlhorn's book). Stem is the part from L_1 point to the beginning of the branch.

This enables us to check conflict only among the branches (called segments in the Mehlhorn's book).



Branch Points



Figure: Branch Points of Each Branch

Fact

The way ordering of edges is done, the stem is always formed by the first children till a frond is encountered.



イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

Conflicting branches and fronds

Ordering of Adj[u] by weights is not sufficient to guarantee that an embedding is possible without further refinement.



Figure: Conflicting Fronds and Branches

If there is a conflict then we must embed on the opposite sides of the current path from root.



Planarity Testing of Graphs Planarity Testing Algorithm for Planarity Testing

Outline of Algorithm I

- We embed the whole graph using the DFS tree ${\cal T}$ branch by branch.
- Since G is bi-connected, initially we will have a cycle.
- A frond uv, where v < u, is embedded either on the left side of T or on the right side.
- So we have an embedding of T in the plane, with the fronds arranged around T giving an embedding of G.
- We first determine an ordering of adj(u) so that the branch points are guaranteed to permit an embedding when G is planar.
- Then, following Hopcroft and Tarjan, we keep two linked lists of fronds, LF and RF containing the fronds and branches embedded on the left of T and on the right of T, respectively.



Planarity Testing of Graphs Planarity Testing Algorithm for Planarity Testing

Outline of Algorithm II

- *LF* and *RF* can be viewed as stacks, whose tops contain the number of the vertex currently marking the upper limit in the tree to which fronds may be embedded.
- We also construct the conflict graph of branches in LF and RF as IG which is a bipartite graph.
- Each branch in turn is embedded recursively.



イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Planarity Testing of Graphs Planarity Testing Algorithm for Planarity Testing

K_5 Planarity Testing

How does K_5 fare in our algorithm?



Figure: Testing of Planarity for K_5



イロト イポト イモト イモト

Planarity Testing of Graphs

Planarity Testing Algorithm for Planarity Testing

$K_{3,3}$ Planarity Testing

How does $K_{3,3}$ fare in our algorithm?



Figure: Testing of Planarity for $K_{3,3}$



イロト イポト イモト イモト
Planarity Testing of Graphs Planarity Testing Algorithm for Planarity Testing

Algorithm: EmbedBranch I

```
EmbedBranch(u: vertex)
for each v in Adj[u] do
   NonPlanar := true
   if a(v) = u then { uv is a tree edge }
      if b(v) = u then { uv begins a new branch at u }
         if L1(v) is too small to permit an embedding the
         place Bu (v) either on LF or RF
      EmbedBranch(v)
      if NonPlanar then Exit
   else if v < u then { uv is a frond }
      EmbedFrond(u, v)
      if EmbedFrond is unsuccessful then Exit
NonPlanar := false { no conflicting fronds were four
```

Planarity Testing of Graphs Planarity Testing Algorithm for Planarity Testing

Analysis and Correctness of Planarity Algorithm

EmbedBranch is called repeatedly in the order of DFS algorithm for every branch that is encountered. It is hard to see the algorithm works. Harder still to see it can be made to work in linear time[7].



Planar Embedding of Graphs



・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Planarity Testing of Graphs Planar Embedding

Planar Embedding Theorem

Theorem

Let G be a 2-connected planar graph. Then we can embed G in the plane in linear time[4, 8]



ヘロト ヘロト ヘビト ヘビト

Other embeddings

The embedding that we have obtained has curved edges. Sometimes we need different criteria for embedding, such as:

- Edges might be needed to be straight.
- Further, vertices might be needed on the grid.
- Furthermore, area might be needed to be minimized.
- Or we need edges made of orthogonal segments (then additionally vertices will need to be rectangular regions, for degree > 4).



Planarity Testing of Graphs Planar Embedding

Straight Line embedding: Basic Idea



Figure: Straight Line Embedding

First we triangulate the planar graph. Start with an outer edge then add vertex after vertex.

We choose v such that it is connected to all consecutve nodes on the chain.

Then we shift the other vertices on the chain either right or left.



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Straight Line Planar Embedding Theorem

Theorem

Any planar graph with n nodes has a straight line embedding into the 2n - 4 by n - 2 grid such that edges are mapped into straight-line segments. Also such an embedding can be constructed in $O(n \log n)$ time.[8, 1, 9]



ヘロト ヘロト ヘビト ヘビン

Planarity Testing of Graphs Planar Embedding

Tuttes Theorem

Theorem (Tuttes Theorem)

If G is a 3-connected planar graph, then G has a convex embedding in the plane.

This is a stronger result that Kuratowskis theorem.



Conclusion

Conclusion



Open Problems and Generalisations

- Planar graphs which admit straight-line grid drawings on grids of linear area.
- Planar graphs which admit unit length straight-line edges.
- Planar graphs can be generalised to higher dimension where we have hyper-faces.
- If we allow crossings, then sometimes it makes sense to minimise crossings.
- There is a concept of book thickness of graphs. We embed the graph such that vertices are in spine and edges can be in the pages. We have to minimise number of pages.





• We studied the concept of graph planarity.



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト



- We studied the concept of graph planarity.
- We gave a short proof of Kuratowski's theorem.



イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Summary

- We studied the concept of graph planarity.
- We gave a short proof of Kuratowski's theorem.
- Next we saw how we can answer queries about planarity of graph.



Summary

- We studied the concept of graph planarity.
- We gave a short proof of Kuratowski's theorem.
- Next we saw how we can answer queries about planarity of graph.
- We looked into calculation of embeddings.



Summary

- We studied the concept of graph planarity.
- We gave a short proof of Kuratowski's theorem.
- Next we saw how we can answer queries about planarity of graph.
- We looked into calculation of embeddings.
- Now we are for the final concluding remarks.



You may read

- Harary's book for basic graph theory[3].
- Hopcroft and Tarjan's paper[5] for linear planarity testing.
- Mehlhorn and Mutzel's paper[8] for linear planar embeddings.
- S. Even, A. Lempel, and I. Cederbaum's work[2] for a simpler embedding algorithm.
- Chapter 4 of Mehlhorn's book[7] for details.



References I

- H. de Fraysseix, J. Pach, and R. Pollack. How to draw a planar graph on a grid. *Combinatorica*, 10, 1990.
- S. Even, A. Lempel, and I. Cederbaum.
 An algorithm for planarity testing of graphs.
 In *Theory of Graphs: Internat. Symposium (Rome 1966)*, pages 215–232. New York, Gordon and Breach, 1967.

F. Harary.

Graph Theory. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.

F. Haray and W. T. Tutte.

A dual form of kuratowskis theorem. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 21(1):168, 1965.



References II

J. Hopcroft and R. E. Tarjan. Efficient planarity testing. Journal of ACM, 21(4):549–568, 1974.

Kazimierz Kuratowski.

Sur le problème des courbes gauches en topologie. *Fund. Math.*, 15:271–283, 1930.

Kurt Mehlhorn.

Data Structures and Algorithms 2: Graph Algorithms and NP-Completeness, volume 2 of Monographs in Theoretical Computer Science. An EATCS Series. Springer-Verlag, 1984.



イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

References III



Kurt Mehlhorn and Petra Mutzel.

On the embedding phase of the hopcroft and tarjan planarity testing algorithm.

Algorithmica, 16:233-242, 1996.

W. Schnyder.

Embedding planar graphs on the grid. In *Proc., First ACM-SIAM Symp. on Discrete Algorithms,* pages 138–148, San Francisco, 1990.

🚺 K. Wagner.

Uber eine erweiterung eines satzes von kuratowski. *Deutsche Mathematik*, 2:280–285, 1937.



Planarity Testing of Graphs Conclusion



Thank You

shreesh@rkmvu.ac.in sarvottamananda@gmail.com



æ

・ロト ・ 四 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト