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## Triangle List Representation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V1}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{V1}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~V} 1_{\mathrm{z}} \\
& V 2_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~V} 2_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~V} 2_{\mathrm{z}} \\
& V 3_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~V} 3_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~V} 3_{\mathrm{z}}
\end{aligned}
$$


$m$ triangles $=>3 m$ vertices

## Triangle List Representation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \mathrm{V1}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{V1}_{\mathrm{y}} V 1_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{~N} 1 \mathrm{~T} 1 \mathrm{U1} . . \\
& \mathrm{V} 2_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~N} \text { 2 T2 U2 .. } \\
& \mathrm{V} 3_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~F} \text { N3 T3 U3 .. } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

$m$ triangles $=>3 m$ vertices

## Adjacency List Representation

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{V} 1_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~V} 1_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~V} 1_{\mathrm{z}} & \mathrm{i1}_{0} \\
\mathrm{i} 1_{1} & \mathrm{i1} 2_{2} \\
\mathrm{~V} 2_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~V} 2_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~V} 2_{\mathrm{z}} & \mathrm{i} 2_{0} \\
\mathrm{i} 2_{1} & \mathrm{i} 2_{2} \\
\mathrm{~V} 3_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~V} 3_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~V} 3_{\mathrm{z}} & \mathrm{i1}_{0} \\
\mathrm{i1} & \mathrm{i} 1_{2} \\
\mathrm{~V} 4_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~V} 4_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~V} 4_{\mathrm{z}} & ! \\
\mathrm{V} 5_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~V} 5_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~V} 5_{\mathrm{z}} & \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Triangle Strip Representation

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{V1}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{V1} 1_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{V1} 1_{\mathrm{z}} & 0 & 0
\end{array}
$$


$m$ triangles $=>n$ vertices

## Triangle Strip Representation?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V} 1_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~V} 1_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~V} 1_{\mathrm{z}} \\
& V 2_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~V} 2_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~V} 2_{\mathrm{z}} \\
& V 3_{x} V 3_{y} V 3_{z} \\
& \mathrm{~V} 4_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~V} 4_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~V} 4_{\mathrm{z}} \\
& \mathrm{~V} 5_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~V} 5_{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~V} 5_{\mathrm{z}}
\end{aligned}
$$


$m$ triangles $=>n$ vertices

## Relationship between $m$ and $n$

- Euler's relation:
o \#V + \#F - \#E = 2
- \#V + \#F - (3/2)\#F = 2

○ \#V $\approx 1 / 2 \# F$

- $n$ is approximately half of $m$
- $m$ triangles imply $3 m$ indices
- An index is re-used about 6 times


## Vertex Shading Review

- Triangle mesh is
- a set of Vertices
- Each vertex has attributes
- Set of Triangles (topology information)
- Each triangle indexes three of the input vertices



## Post-Transform Vertex Cache

- Cache results of vertex shading
- Helps reuse computation and reduce $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{w}$
- Typical cache sizes are between 8 and 32 entries
- FIFO cache replacement policy

| 1 |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2 |  |
| 3 |  |
| . |  |
| . |  |
| $K$ |  |

## Post-Transform Vertex Cache

- Triangles reuse vertices
- Average degree of a vertex is $\sim 6$
- Would like to transform a vertex once
- $\sim 85 \%$ reduction in vertex computation
- Specify triangles in an order that exploits the cache
- Vertex reuse is clustered in the order


## Geometry Specification

- Huge Meshes
- Hundreds of megabytes to store and transfer
- Bus bandwidth and video memory size are bottlenecks
- Need to compress both the vertex data and the topology
- Hardware supported
- Topology compression is required as well
- Lossless compression to preserve the mesh structure
- Little hardware support in current GPU's

Compress input geometry with efficient hardware decompression and minimal hardware changes

## Problem Statement

## "Cache Friendly Compressed Representation of Input Geometry with efficient decompression"

- high compression of topology
- high cache coherence
- Inexpensive and Efficient decompression hardware
- Minimal API change
- Friendly to vertex attribute compression
- not a subject of this paper


## Some Previous Work

- Compression - Deering/Chow
- Cache simulation and optimization - Hoppe et al.
- Stack Buffer - BarYehuda-Gotsman
- Other work to just compress topology - Edgebreaker
- Cache oblivious work - Yoon et al.
- Single Strip triangulation work - Gopi


## Compressed Stream [Deering..]

- Turn meshes into a stream of data and instructions
- 'Generalized' Mesh
- Include special LOAD instructions
- Restart, Replace Oldest, Replace Middle
- Push into mesh-buffer
- Control which index goes into the buffer and which is evicted


## Greedy strip-growing [Hoppe]

To decide when to restart strip, perform look-ahead cache simulation


## Cache Oblivious Layout [Yoon..]



## Our Approach

- $n$ vertices imply at least $n$ cache misses
- Minimize the use of a vertex when not in cache
- Visit all triangles adjacent to a vertex before it is evicted
- Cannot guarantee for every vertex
- A vertex is 'hit' only for a fixed number of cache misses (FIFO)
- Directly re-order the vertices, rather than triangles
- Connectivity of the vertices dictates the triangle order to follow


## Illustration

Input Mesh with 19 vertices and 22 triangles


## Illustration

Step 1:Divide the mesh into rows (chains) of vertices
$\rightarrow$ Triangles exist only between consecutive chains


## Illustration

Step 2: Order Vertices within every chain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}_{1} \rightarrow \stackrel{(1,1)}{\circ} \stackrel{(1,2)}{(1,3)} \underset{\sim}{\circ}- \\
& \mathrm{R}_{2} \rightarrow \underset{(2,1)}{\mathrm{O}} \underset{(2,2)}{\mathrm{O}}-\underset{(2,3)}{\mathrm{O}} \underset{(2,4)}{-} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{3} \rightarrow \underset{(3,1)}{0}-0-0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\
& \mathrm{R}_{4} \rightarrow \underset{(4,1)}{\mathrm{O}} \underset{(4,2)}{(4,3)} \underset{(4,4)}{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Illustration

Step 3: Render triangles in an order that introduces vertices in R1 before R2 and so on, in the order specified within each row


## Illustration

Render Degenerate Triangle $\rightarrow\left[\mathrm{V}_{(1,1)} \mathrm{V}_{(1,1)} \mathrm{V}_{(1,1)}\right]$


## Illustration

Render Degenerate Triangle $\rightarrow\left[\mathrm{V}_{(1,2)} \mathrm{V}_{(1,2)} \mathrm{V}_{(1,2)}\right]$


$\mathrm{K}=10$

## Illustration

Render Degenerate Triangle $\rightarrow\left[\mathrm{V}_{(1,3)} \mathrm{V}_{(1,3)} \mathrm{V}_{(1,3)}\right]$


$\mathrm{K}=10$

## Illustration

Render Degenerate Triangle $\rightarrow\left[\mathrm{V}_{(1,4)} \mathrm{V}_{(1,4)} \mathrm{V}_{(1,4)}\right]$


## Illustration

Render Degenerate Triangle $\rightarrow\left[\mathrm{V}_{(1,5)} \mathrm{V}_{(1,5)} \mathrm{V}_{(1,5)}\right]$


$\mathrm{K}=10$

## Illustration

Render Triangle $\rightarrow\left[\mathrm{V}_{(1,1)} \mathrm{V}_{(1,2)} \mathrm{V}_{(2,1)}\right]$


## Illustration

Render Triangle $\rightarrow\left[\mathrm{V}_{(1,2)} \mathrm{V}_{(2,1)} \mathrm{V}_{(2,2)}\right]$


## Illustration

Render triangles between $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$


## Illustration

Render triangle $\rightarrow\left[\mathrm{V}_{(2,1)} \mathrm{V}_{(2,2)} \mathrm{V}_{(3,1)}\right]$


## Illustration

Render triangle $\rightarrow\left[\mathrm{V}_{(2,2)} \mathrm{V}_{(3,1)} \mathrm{V}_{(3,2)}\right]$


## Illustration

Render triangles between $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}$


## Illustration

Render Triangle $\rightarrow\left[\mathrm{V}_{(3,1)} \mathrm{V}_{(3,2)} \mathrm{V}_{(4,1)}\right]$


## Illustration

Render Triangle $\rightarrow\left[\mathrm{V}_{(3,2)} \mathrm{V}_{(4,1)} \mathrm{V}_{(4,2)}\right]$


## Illustration

Render triangles between $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{4}$


## Illustration (contd.)

- Each vertex was loaded only once into the cache
- Optimal solution
- Generated some degenerate triangles to warm-up the cache
- However, we allowed a potentially large cache!
- What happens when the cache size $(\mathrm{K})$ is not that large?
- Choose a subset of vertices along each chain
- Each subset is referred to as a cut.
- Vertices shared by two cuts need to be reloaded


## Algorithm Overview

1. Form chains (rows of vertices) for the mesh
2. Order the vertices within each chain
3. Form cuts of vertices for each row

- Function of connectivity and cache size K

4. Form list of triangles that preserves the vertex order

## 1. Forming Chains On The Mesh

- Given a mesh, choose a subset of vertices that form a connected path
- can choose any single vertex as well
- denote this set as $\mathrm{R}_{1}$


## 1. Forming Chains On The Mesh



## 1. Forming Chains On The Mesh

- Given a mesh, choose a subset of vertices that form a connected path
- can choose any single vertex as well
- denote this set as $\mathrm{R}_{1}$
- Perform a Breadth First Search and find all vertices connected to at least one vertex in $\mathrm{R}_{1}$
- Forms the chain $\mathrm{R}_{2}$


## 1. Forming Chains On The Mesh



## 1. Forming Chains On The Mesh

- Given a mesh, choose a subset of vertices that form a connected path
- can choose any single vertex as well
- denote this set as $\mathrm{R}_{1}$
- Perform a Breadth First Search and find all vertices connected to at least one vertex in $\mathrm{R}_{1}$
- Forms the chain $R_{2}$
- Continue forming chains until each vertex belongs to some chain
- Some chains may not form a connected path
- Running time of $\mathrm{O}(n+m)$


## 1. Forming Chains On The Mesh



## 1. Forming Chains On The Mesh Example 2



## 1. Forming Chains On The Mesh Example 2



## 2. Ordering Vertices Within A Chain

- $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is already ordered to start with

2. Ordering Vertices Within A Chain


## 2. Ordering Vertices Within A Chain

- $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is already ordered to start with
- For each vertex in $\mathrm{R}_{2}$
- Store the ID of vertices in $R_{1}\left(L_{v}\right)$ sharing an edge with it


## 2. Ordering Vertices Within A Chain



## 2. Ordering Vertices Within A Chain

- $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is already ordered to start with
- For each vertex in $\mathrm{R}_{2}$
- Store the ID of vertices in $\mathrm{R}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)$ sharing an edge with it
- Sort the vertices in $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ based on $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{v}}$
- Specific rules to break ties
- This defines the order within $\mathrm{R}_{2}$


## 2. Ordering Vertices Within A Chain


2. Ordering Vertices Within A Chain


## 2. Ordering Vertices Within A Chain

- $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ is already ordered to start with
- For each vertex in $\mathrm{P}_{2}$
- Store the ID of vertices in $\mathrm{P}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)$ sharing an edge with it
- Sort the vertices in $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ based on $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{v}}$
- Specific rules to break ties
- This defines the order within $\mathrm{P}_{2}$
- Perform similar computation for each subsequent chain.
- Running time of $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n} \log (\mathrm{n}))$


## 2. Ordering Vertices Within A Chain

$$
\mathrm{R}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{2}^{(1,1)} \mathrm{R}_{3}^{(1,2)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}_{(3,1)}^{(1,3)}
$$

## 2. Ordering Vertices Within A Chain



## 3. Forming Cuts Of Vertices

- Each cut is defined as a subset of vertices in each row
- Consider a row $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$
- say a vertex $v$ is introduced into the cache by some triangle joining vertices in $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$
- The subset in $R_{i}$ is chosen in a fashion that ensures that every vertex $v$ remains in the cache when triangles joining $v$ to vertices in $R_{i+1}$ are traversed
- keep a counter which keeps track of the number of vertices that can be loaded from $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}+1}$ and continue while counter $>0$


## 3. Forming Cuts Of Vertices

- Assume cache size $(\mathrm{K})=6$
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## 3. Forming Cuts Of Vertices
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## 3. Forming Cuts Of Vertices

- Assume cache size $(\mathrm{K})=6$



## 3. Forming Cuts Of Vertices

- Assume cache size $(\mathrm{K})=6$


$K=6$


## 3. Forming Cuts Of Vertices

- Assume cache size $(\mathrm{K})=6$



## 3. Forming Cuts Of Vertices

- Assume cache size $(\mathrm{K})=6$
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## 3. Forming Cuts Of Vertices

- Assume cache size $(\mathrm{K})=6$



## 3. Forming Cuts Of Vertices

- Assume cache size $(\mathrm{K})=6$



## 3. Forming Cuts Of Vertices

- Assume cache size $(\mathrm{K})=6$



## 3. Forming Cuts Of Vertices

2 cuts are formed and 4 vertices need to be reloaded


## 3. Forming Cuts Of Vertices

## 3 vertices need to be reloaded



## Results (Cache Size K = 16)

| Model | Vertices <br> $(\mathrm{n})$ | Triangles <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Cache Miss <br> Rate (r) | Lin et <br> al. (r) | Degenerate <br> Tris (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grid20 | 391 | 704 | 0.580 | 0.605 | 2.9 |
| Fandisk | 6,475 | 12,946 | 0.588 | 0.595 | 2.4 |
| Bunny | 35,947 | 71,884 | 0.608 | 0.597 | 3.6 |
| Horse | 48,485 | 96,966 | 0.589 | 0.599 | 2.6 |
| Teeth | 116,604 | 233,204 | 0.590 | 0.604 | 2.9 |
| Igea | 134,556 | 269,108 | 0.573 | 0.601 | 2.3 |
| Isis | 187,644 | 375,284 | 0.580 | 0.603 | 3.4 |
| Hand | 327,323 | 654,666 | 0.612 | 0.606 | 4.7 |
| Tablet | 539,446 | $1,078,890$ | 0.567 | 0.580 | 2.3 |

r = Cache Misses Per Triangle

## Results


$r$ with varying cache sizes for different models

## Comparison with Cache Oblivious Layout



## Topology Encoding

- Out of $3 m$ input indices (for $m$ triangles)
- $m / 2$ indices refer to vertices encountered for the first time
- Implicit; no bits necessary
- $2.4 m$ indices are cache hits
- Encode by their cache address
- ( $r-1 / 2$ ) $m \sim 0.1 m$ indices are reloaded post cache-eviction
- Require explicit vertex-index
- Huffman encode these
$-<5$ bits per index, on average
- Variable length encoding


## Fixed-length Compression

Let $F$ represent the case where an index is referred for the first time
Let $C$ represent the case where an index is in the cache
Let $R$ represent the case where an index is reloaded into the cache
Encode an entire triangle:

- FFF : fetch the next 3 vertices from vertex array
- FFC : fetch the next 2 vertices and encode the cache-position of the third
- requires $\log (K)$ bits (4 bits for a 16-entry cache)
- FFR: fetch next 2 from vertex array; encode a previously used index
- FCR: fetch next vertex, one in-cache, one previously used
- FCC : fetch the next vertex; encode two cache positions
- Requires $\sim 8$ bits for a 16 -entry cache
- CCC : $\sim 8$ bits
- CCR : $\sim 8$ bits plus the reload index
- reloaded vertices exist at the boundary of the cuts
- bound the number of rows in a cut to keep $R$ small
- If R does not fit, change case


## Unfavorable Cases

- RRR
- Guarantee to not exist, by construction
- RRX (ijx)
- Rare, O(n $\left.{ }^{(1 / 4)}\right)$
- Fewer than $0.1 \%$ of triangles in experiments
- Still disallowed
- Convert to two triangles
- DDR (iii), CRX (cix)


## Unfavorable Cases

- CCC
- Enforce one of the cache entries 0,1,2
- In fact, if we eliminate FFF
- One of the CCC must be in 0,1

- Split into cases CC0 and CC1
- CCR
- R may not fit
- Make a DDR
- Followed by CCC


## Decompression Scheme and Hardware Extensions

- Decompression is simple
- decode the case number for each triangle
- decode the various addresses required
- directly get the cache address of vertices already in cache
- fetch the vertices loaded for the first time or reloaded
- Hardware changes
- decoding logic to compute the case number
- Mux to fetch addresses
- Bypass cache-tag lookup
- Counter for 'F' vertices


## Results and Comparisons

- Compressed stream requires 8-9 bits per triangle
- Can potentially exploit coherence between triangles
- 70\% triangles have 1 index common with previous triangle
- $40 \%$ triangles have 2 indices common with previous triangle
- Mesh representations by Chow et al. and Deering et al. take 20-40 bits per triangle
- our scheme achieves $\sim 2-4 \mathrm{X}$ better compression


## Conclusions

## Unified approach for cache efficiency and bandwidth reduction

Near optimal
Pre-processing required
Only applicable for parts of the model where order is not pre-determined
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